



Anbefalinger til deg Hvorfor anbefaler vi disse annonsene?



Bryggja

Eksklusiv arkitekttegnet hytte ved sjøen under oppføring - Opplev



Oslo

Daglig leder / CEO Norges Bank Investment Management



Arendal Suzuki Jimny 1,3 4X4 SE



Investment Management

Nomes Rank

Anbefalinger til deg Hvorfor anbefaler vi disse annonsene?





Eksklusiv arkitekttegnet hytte ved sjøen under oppføring - Opplev Nordfjord!

Arendal Daglig leder / CEO Norges Bank Suzuki Jimny 1,3 4X4 SE dba 🛆 Notifikationer (+) Ny annonce 🔄 Beskeder (🙁 Log Ind Q Q ጥ £ R 8 ൽ 0 Møbler og Mode og skønhed Forældre og børn Sport og friluftsliv Underholdning og Dyr og udstyr Kunst og antik indretning hobby 2 රිස් ŝ <u>عليٰ</u> 5 ã Elektronik og Have og renovering Bil og campingvogn Båd MC Landbrugsmaskine Erhverv hvidevarer Udforsk alle kategorier >

Populære annoncer



			Ļ,	Actifications (+) New announcement	t 💬 Viestit) My Mark
Search in Tori							C
Ð	ዋ	₽	R	%	8	••••	*
Home and interior design	Clothing and accessories	Children and parents	Sports and outdoor activities	Animals and animal supplies	Entertainment and hobbies	Sell your car	Repair shop
		28		5		0	
Apartments for sale	Electronics and home appliances	Yard and renovation	Rental apartments	Car, motorcycle and boat accessories	Holiday homes	Antiques and art	
						Show all o	department
A big cha You'll soon be the same - you	ange you'll ha logged into Tor wit u don't need to do a	ardly notice th a Vend account anything.	? . Everything else v	vill remain	r	n Vend	
Read more							







Some **n Vend** Numbers for Context

- Going towards the same platform
- 1000+ applications per market
- 5000+ deployments per week
- 450+ developers / 70 teams
- All the languages and frameworks

Nice attack surface



My Security Journey (in current job)

2014-2018

Developer in product team Small scope → Secrets Management

2019 - 2022

First FTE in FINN, Security Manager Scope: one brand, 200+ developers One-man show

- Pentesting, bug bounty, code scanning
- \rightarrow Security champions

2018

Security Engineer in group function Huge scope

→ Scanner orchestration, vulnerable dependencies, dynamic web app scanning

2022-2025

Team of 5 Security Engineers Scope: Many brands, 450+ developers

→ Cloud Security & more bug bounty programs

The DevOps Lifecycle



Sprinkle Security Across Everything





Which source do you trust the most?

Imagine you have one critical finding from each source, which one would you prioritize first?

- Code Scanning
- Dependency (software library)
- Web App Scanning
- Attack Surface / Cloud
- Pen-test / Bug Bounty

Focus on What Matters

Verified Vulnerabilities

- Exploitable in production
- Real, provable impact
- Must be fixed
- Usually found by humans (^(N))

Busywork Vulnerabilities

• False positives

No risk reduction

- Theoretical correct
- Lacks context
- Mostly from automated tools

Lost dev time

"It is time to ignore most dependency alerts" - https://blog.doyensec.com/2024/03/14/supplychain.html



Pentesting Journey at FINN.no

- Been doing it for 15+ years in many different forms
 - \circ Release test
 - Monthly on-site testing by external partner
 - \circ Two larger tests per year
- Works well with few releases
 - \circ But not with over 1000+ deployments per week
- Vulnerability forecast:
 - \circ $\,$ Foggy with a high chance of undiscovered bugs in production $\,$

Vulnerability Fun Facts From 2019 Era

Lifespan

- Avg exposure time • over 800 days
- Oldest finding
 - \circ 11 years in prod

Discovery

- Findings per year
 0 15 on average
- Cost per finding
 > \$2000



Low Weakness Diversity



The Bug Bounty Effect





What is a Bug Bounty Program?

- **Crowdsourced security testing:** pay per valid finding
- Usually hosted on a platform: HackerOne, Intigriti, BugCrowd
- **Program types:** Public or Private
- The platform deals with:
 - Sourcing and paying hackers
 - Receiving reports and sometimes triage
- You decide scope and policy for the researcher's behavior:
 - \circ What domains are in scope or out of scope?
 - Rate-limits, required headers
 - \circ "Use bb platform email, do not spam end-users"

The Life of a Bug Bounty Report



Bug Bounty Benefits

- Many eyes on the target
- Diverse backgrounds and skill sets
- Better coverage and continuous like testing
- Effective: Scope once & pay per finding
- Being a part of the bug bounty community and building a good reputation

Bug Bounty Challenges

- Hard to test admin interfaces / back office in prod
 - \circ Requires you to setup dedicated test environments
- Duplicate submissions:
 - \circ If your teams are slow at fixing issues
- Scanner noise, not following policies
 - Happens, but can easily be handled
- Too many critical findings at launch?
 - \circ Pause program and fix before relaunching

How much do we pay?

- We pay the hackers based on severity and business impact
 - \circ We started at \$100-\$3000, now we are at max \$6000
- Since 2019:
 - ~\$200.000 paid in bounties (FINN)
 - ~\$400.000 across 6 programs
 - Median bounty around \$200-\$400 the last years
- Cannot compete with "Big Tech" payouts:
 - Shopify: max \$200,000
 - Google: max \$151,515



lt's NICE to be **IMPORTANT** but it's more IMPORTANT to be NICE - Scooter



Competing for bug bounty talent

• Just be nice

0

- **: be nice** Friendly and respectful tone in messages
- Lax on scope definition: accept all reports with impact
- Pay fairly, increase severity/bounty instead of limiting
- \circ Working with hackers to determine the maximum impact

• Fast response times

- Better than the top 20 programs on HackerOne
- Top 20 measures in hours/days
- \circ We measured in minutes/hours



Competing for bug bounty talent



bogdantcaciuc posted a comment.

@emilva

Damn, that was quick.

It really motivates me to look more and focus on your program. Awesome job.

Thank you!

Being Lax on Scope Saved us!

Hi,

I am purely inquiring to see if this program would accept submissions for sensitive data exposure inside docker images from their org <u>https://hub.docker.com/u/fiaas</u> is owned by Schibsted.

This asset is not in scope, and would not be accepted by platform triage \mathbf{X} But we were intrigued, and accepted it! Critical issue found \mathbf{V}

Vulnerability Explanation

- A docker image published on Docker Hub in 2019 contained a GitHub PAT with OWNER privileges in the organization
- The public *fiaas* GitHub org:
 - \circ Our Kubernetes deployment platform
 - \circ Core infrastructure component with high privileges
- Potential scenario:
 - Sophisticated attackers could easily misuse this to supply chain attack & ransomware us hard

2019 Docker bug



6 years exposure time



2025 Report received

Why Did it Happen?

• Our developers were innocent, this was caused by a bug in Docker multi stage builds

18.03.0-ce

2018-03-21

Builder

- Switch to -buildmode=pie moby/moby#34369
 □
- Allow Dockerfile to be outside of build-context <u>docker/cli#886</u> [2]
- Builder: fix wrong cache hits building from tars moby/moby#36329 □
- Fixes files leaking to other images in a multi-stage build <u>moby/moby#36338</u> [2]

Lessons Learned

- Our container secret scanning would have found it today
 - \circ But this container was not in use, too old
- Scan everything, even old stuff!
- Ban PATs and use fine-grained access tokens instead
- Use short-lived OIDC tokens wherever possible
- Being lax on scope definition saved us
- Add all your open source projects to scope!





Vulnerability Transparency

- Give platform access to all developers gives you:
 - Awareness about all reports coming in
 - Faster response on critical findings
- "Critical fix on a Saturday" not possible without it
 - \circ We could always ping somebody
 - \circ Easier when they just show up and fix it







Top 10 Vulnerabilities

By Count	By Spend		
XSS	XSS		
Information Disclosure	Subdomain Takeover		
Access Control	Information Disclosure		
Misconfiguration	Access Control		
IDOR	IDOR		
Authentication	Authentication		
Open Redirect	Denial of Service		
CSRF	Business Logic Errors		
Web Cache Poisoning	SSRF		
Business Logic Errors	HTTP Request Smuggling		

Critical bugs: more than just AppSec


Report Quality

• Running a private program helps

- \circ Less random automated bounty beggars
- Closing bad reports is not a big time sink
 - "XSS" via Console:

```
3. Inject Payload:
```

Paste the following payload into the console and press Enter:

```
var payload = '<img src=x onerror="alert(document.cookie)">';
var div = document.createElement("div");
div.innerHTML = payload;
document.body.appendChild(div);
```

3 Degrees of Low Quality Reports

No Bounty

No bounty accepted

Beg Bounty

Begs for bounty or positive close status

Threat Bounty

Threatens to publicly disclose if no bounty or positive close status



Denial of Funds Attack (DoF)

- We usually have about 10k EUR in our bounty pool
- Program **auto-suspends** if bounty pool is depleted
- The platform reserves the bounty amount **before triage**
- What happens if one researcher spam us with "Criticals"?
 - Denial of Funds attack!

DoF Attack + Threat Bounty

- We got three high severity reports
- Program was auto-suspended
- Two of those:
 - \circ Almost same title
 - \circ Same content, different order
 - ... but different severity
 - \circ No impact whatsoever Likely AI generated
- Closed as Not Applicable

Threat Bounty 🔔

Hello,

Why did you give me negative? Does this mean that these addresses that I reported are not important for you? If it is not important, there is no need to give a negative because this information is related to your company.

I will definitely follow up on this case because we report the same information on Hackerone and at least they count it low, but I don't understand at all why you gave me a negative.

If you agree, I will post this information publicly on Twitter so that you will know the opinions of other people that this decision of yours was not correct. Do you agree to hear other people's opinions?

Because this topic is very important to me and you should not give me negative feedback and it should be changed to informative. If you don't intend to do this, please let me know as soon as possible if you want to change or would you like to give a negative score for this report?

Meme Bounty







IF YOU THINK ABOUT IT

THIS IS THE FIRST EVER ME REPORT IN HACKERONE



Bug Bounty Program Impact

- We found and fixed a lot of old vulnerabilities
 - Over 700+ vulnerabilities fixed
- We are discovering vulnerabilities faster than before
- The number of findings per year are decreasing
- It is effective
 - \circ Cost per finding \$400 vs \$3000 before

Only 2 out of 700 reports were caused by a vulnerable dependency

Key Ingredient in AppSec Program

• Builds security awareness among developers

- \circ All reports are open for anybody to read
- Devs like to talk about new interesting findings

• Over 700 verified vulnerability reports

- Valuable vulnerability data & metrics
- Helps us focus our AppSec efforts

No SQL Injection found on FINN.no since 2014

No SQLi Bugs Happened Organically

Secure Defaults FTW

Most libraries encode input *automatically*

Dev's do not need to *manually* encode

Did we look close enough?

10 years of pen-testing
5 years of Bug Bounty
A lot of Code scanning
24/7 Dynamic scanning
2x Bounty promotion campaigns

Secure defaults: Squash bug classes, not individual bugs



Secure Defaults in Practice

Total findings
 XSS findings
 SQL Injection findings



"Weaponizing" the Vulnerability Data

- Created CTF challenges based on bug bounty reports
- 35 challenges in the categories:
 - XSS/CORS, JWT, Cryptography, SSRF, XXE, /internal-backstage/, secrets in github/CI/CD
- Released a few challenges per week until main event
 - \circ Kept teams on their toes
- Developers loved it

Simplified XSS example

```
app.get("/", (req, res) => {
    const { query = "" } = req.query;
    res.send(`
      ${heading}
      <body>
      ${req.query ? `<h2>Results</h2>No results for ${req.query}` : ""}
      <script type="application/json">${JSON.stringify({ req.query })}</script>
      </body>
      </html>
      `);});
```

Sanitized by DOMPurify

```
app.get("/", (req, res) => {
    const { query = "" } = req.query;
    const sanitized = DOMPurify.sanitize(query);
    res.send(`
      ${heading}
      <body>
      ${sanitized ? `<h2>Results</h2>No results for ${sanitized}` : ""}
      <script type="application/json">${JSON.stringify({ sanitized })}</script>
      </body>
      </html>
      `);});
```

DOMPurify Removes Dangerous bits

DOMPurify.sanitize(''); // becomes DOMPurify.sanitize('<svg><g/onload=alert(2)//<p>'); // becomes <svg><g></g></svg> DOMPurify.sanitize('abc<iframe//src=jAva	script:alert(3)>def'); // becomes abc



Is there any foot-gun potential?

Well, please note, if you *first* sanitize HTML and then modify it *afterwards*, you might easily **void the effects of sanitization**. If you feed the sanitized markup to another library *after* sanitization, please be certain that the library doesn't mess around with the HTML on its own.

```
Foot-gun Potential
        app.get("/part4", (req, res) => {
          const { query = "" } = req.query;
          const sanitized = DOMPurify.sanitize(query);
          res.send(`
           ${heading}
           <body>
           ${sanitized ? `<h2>Results</h2>No results for ${sanitized}` : ""}
           <script type="application/json">${JSON.stringify({ sanitized })}</script>
           </body>
         );});
```

Foot-gun example

// Removes dangerous <script> tag
DOMPurify.sanitize('<script>alert(1)</script>');
// outputs

// <script> tag is not dangerous inside attribute quote context DOMPurify.sanitize('<img src="<script>alert(1)</script>">'); // outputs <img src="<script>alert(1)</script>">

Payload example

We send payload: <img src="</script><script>alert(1)</script>">

<h2>Results</h2>No results for <img src="</script><script>alert(1)</script>"></script type="application/json">{"sanitized":"<img src=\"</script><script>alert(1)</script>\">"}</script>

</torm>

<h2>Results</h2>No results for <img src="</script>alert(1)</script>"></script type="application/json">{"sanitized":"<img src=\"</script><script>alert(1)</script>\">"}</script>

The Long Tail of Vulnerabilities

- Bug bounty by far outclasses other activities

 Effective in terms of \$ and vulnerabilities

 Still we spend time and resources on other activities

 Code/Dynamic scanning, Cloud tools, Pentesting

 While others yields less verified vulnerabilities, they often yield different types of vulnerabilities
- All in all this gives us better assurance

Only 5 out of 20 critical bugs could be found with code scanning.

What if I could only do one thing?

Code Scanning

- Hard to roll out
- Findings lacks deployment context
- Can annoy developers if done badly
- High cost per year, no guarantees

Bug Bounty Program

- Scope once, hackz everywhere!
- Mostly real exploitable bugs
- Developers only see real bugs
- Pay-as-you-go for bounties

Traditional Advice: Roll out a SDL with a bunch of tools and practices before bug bounty.

Spicy Advice: Launch a private bug bounty program and do some real risk reduction.

5 Years of security.txt vs Bug Bounty

security.txt

- 1-2 valid findings
- 99% spam



Bug Bounty Program

- > 700 valid findings
- < 1% spam

All companies **should** do bug bounty

How to Launch a Program

Get money & a platform

- Test different platforms
- Managed triage service = slow response times

Triage process

- Set bounties based on business impact
- Get inspired by other programs

Scoping

- Start small and expand as you mature the program
- Do pen-tests before launch?
- 24/7 scanning to catch low-hanging fruits

Communication

- Inform the org about it!
- Onboard people in your process
- There will be scanner noise

Platform must-haves: SSO

Why?

Give everybody easy access Manually managing access sucks Importing to JIRA sucks

Impact

No time spent on manual processes Developers talk about reports! Security vulnerability awareness No JIRA-headache

Platform must-haves: Good API

What is good enough?

Should not be painful to use Be able to import reports Export data/metrics Automate missing things

Examples

Silly rate limits / auth methods Avoid paying for known findings Crunch custom metrics Use data in other platforms Auto assign reports based on URLs

Automation Example

- All our deployments are tagged with an *owner*
- We can find a deployment from an URL
- Report with url <u>finn.no/user/api</u>
 - Lookup owner from ingress /user/api
 - Find team: 'account'
 - \circ Assign report to team 'account'
 - Notify their alert slack channel



Platform must-haves: Report disclosure

Why is it important

Knowledge sharing Increase activity Community building Shows fair treatment

Impact

Bypasses! More reports!

Regex is pronounced /rɪˈgrɛts/

- Any URL with /internal-backstage/ or /_/ is blocked
- "Hiding" endpoints like /metrics, /health, /actuator
- The blocking is done by a RegEx in HAProxy configuration
- Vulnerability forecast:
 - \circ Cloudy with a high chance of RegEx Bypass

Regex Bypass Galore

Hacker #1 Hacker #2 Hacker #2 Hacker #3

Bypass:	Bypass:	Bypass:	Bypass:
/ib;/env	/ib;abc/env	/_;/env	/_;abc/env

September	2019	January	2020	January	2020	August	2020
:		:		:		;	
•====							

Lessons Learned

- RegEx is hard & report disclosure is effective
- Bug had been in production for 3 years:
 - No pentest discovered it (or other tooling)
 - \circ Bug bounty discovered it after 7 days
 - ... And kept re-discovering it!

Dependency Confusion

Recipe:

- 1. Find name of an internal package
- 2. Publish a public version with higher version number
- 3. Profit! (Literally)

Impact:

Attacker code can then run on:

- Developer's machines
- Build Systems
- In production





[1] https://medium.com/@alex.birsan/dependency-confusion-4a5d60fec610





From Blog to Bug Bounty

• The researcher referenced our own blog post

I do often reference your blog post here for mitigation when submitting similar reports <u>https://schibsted.com/blog/dependency-confusion-how-we-protected-ourselves/</u>

- The blog post was more impactful than the tool?
 - \circ Sometimes words are mightier than the sword
- After this the team made the tool run 24/7



Expect the Unexpected

- Wow-factor reports
 - \circ Critical bugs that lived in production too long
- Reality check
 - \circ What if the bad guys found this first?
- Continuous learning
 - Each surprise finding drives improvements

The Bug Bounty Effect

• Bug bounty has been priceless for us

- \circ Key ingredient in our AppSec program
- We still do pen-tests and all things DEVSECOops
 - \circ Secure defaults are effective
- Launching a program is easy & impactful
 - Every large company should have one
- The key to bug bounty success
 - \circ Be nice and be fast on response

Thanks!

Do you have any questions?

Emil Vaagland @ LinkedIn twitter.com/emil_no emilpls.bsky.social emil@vend.com

> **CREDITS:** This presentation template was created by <u>Slidesgo</u>, and includes icons by <u>Flaticon</u>, and infographics & images by <u>Freepik</u>

DEVSECOops!

Please keep this slide for attribution